UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,367	11/25/2003	Samuel M. Shaolian	ENDOLOG.023CP1	4603
20995 7590 11/12/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			SEVERSON, RYAN J	
IRVINE, CA 92	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,367	SHAOLIAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ryan J. Severson	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 31-34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 31-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/722,367 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/4/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quiachon et al. (5,769,885) in view of Summers (5,607,445) and Anderson et al. (5,647,857). Quiachon et al. disclose a deployment system for a bifurcated graft where the main vessel portion is oriented distal relative to the branch vessel portions (see figures 7 and 30). The deployment system further includes an outer sheath (160) and an inner core (54) with a distal tip (80). Branch vessel restraints (132 and 202) hold the branch vessel portions and a main vessel restraint (93) holds the main vessel. An RO marker (166) is disposed on the outer sheath.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,367

Art Unit: 3731

4. However, Quiachon et al. do not disclose the entire prosthesis is support by a stent. Attention is drawn to Summers, who teaches a stent that can have a graft over its entire length (see column 11, lines 33-36) to provide support to a continuous length of diseased vessel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have supported the entire graft of Quiachon et al. with stents, instead of just the ends, to provide support for the vessel over the entire length of the prosthesis.

Page 3

5. Further, the combination of Quiachon et al. and Summers fails to disclose the restraints cover the entire graft portions and are peelable with a release element. Attention is drawn to Anderson et al. who teach the use of a peelable sheath (restraint) over an entire length of a graft with a release element (30, see figures 1 and 2) to allow the sheath to be peeled away from the graft instead of slid or displaced axially to prevent the retraction of the sheath from displacing or distorting the graft during deployment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the restraints of the device of Quiachon et al. in view of Summers peelable and covering the entire length of the graft, as taught by Anderson et al., to prevent the retraction of the sheath from displacing or distorting the graft during deployment. Having the entire length of the graft portions of the combination of Quiachon et al. and Summers covered with restraints is desirable because the entire lengths have stents disposed therein (as set forth above), which would need to be restrained to prevent premature expansion.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,367 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

6. Regarding claim 33, Examiner considers it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to locate where the connection between the release element and peelable restraint is located. Such a change from the distal to proximal end (or vice-versa) is merely rearrangement of parts. It has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan J. Severson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30-5:00.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,367 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ryan J. Severson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731 11/6/09 /(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773